United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/517,250 | 09/15/2005 | Udo Gortz | 915-006.062 | 2965 |
| 4955 7590 11/26/2007 WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP | | EXAMINER | | |
| BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 | | | NGUYEN, DAVID Q | |
| 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| mornios, er | 0.100 | | 2617 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/26/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| t . | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/517,250 | GORTZ ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | David Q. Nguyen | 2617 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Second 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2. | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-85 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-7,9-85 are subject to restriction and | vn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | • |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive | on No |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | e d . |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | A) 🔲 Interview Commercia | (DTO 412) |
| Notice of References Cited (P10-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | nte |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1 Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-7,9-12,14-15,17-29 and 84, drawn to a position sensor in a mobile device, classified in class 340, subclass 531.
- II. Claims 13, 30-46 and 63-73, drawn to an acceleration sensor in a mobile device, classified in class 340, subclass 669.
- II. Claims 16, 47-62 and 74-84, drawn to an atmospheric sensor in a mobile device, classified in class 454, subclass 72.
- 2. Inventions I, II and III are directed to related a sensor in a mobile device. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed in groups I, II and III are distinct as explained above, group I relates to a position sensor in a mobile device, group II relates to an acceleration sensor in a mobile device and group III relates to an atmospheric sensor in a mobile device. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7844. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH H. FEILD can be reached on (571)272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/517,250

Art Unit: 2617

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Q Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit 2617